



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENT AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/541,795	03/31/2000	James Link	6446.US.P2	3564

23492 7590 12/20/2002

STEVEN F. WEINSTOCK; ABBOTT LABORATORIES  
100 ABBOTT PARK ROAD  
DEPT. 377/AP6A  
ABBOTT PARK, IL 60064-6008

EXAMINER

PATEL, SUDHAKER B

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1624

DATE MAILED: 12/20/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Interview Summary

Application No.  
09/541,795

Applicant(s)  
James Link et al

Examiner  
SUDHAKER PATEL, D.Sc. Tech.

Art Unit  
1624



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) SUDHAKER PATEL, D.Sc. Tech.

(3) Dr. Weinstock Tel. 847 937 6364

(2) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Dec 19, 2002

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic      b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes      e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: None

Identification of prior art discussed:

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Examiner initiated the discussion by returning the call from the applicants. Applicants wanted copy of the last Office Action paper #12 dated 9/23/02 as they have not received it. Applicants also mentioned that they had sent IDS papers in 7/2002 and that has not been entered. Since examiner is on vacation, examiner suggested that applicants write to Office Explaining the situation. Examiner also pointed out to applicants that a copy of the earlier reply of the applicants was received by examiner at the request of examiner as the case showed up as abandonment. The fax copy was entered as paper #110 dated 7/29/02. Examiner wrote the action paper # 12 on 9/23/02.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required